

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/243,046 05/16/94 BRANSCOMB ADIN79143MAH H

24M1/1030

**EXAMINER** 

MARK A HAYNES WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CA 94304

LUU, M PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2415

DATE MAILED:

10/30/96

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

| ] Th           | nis a    | pplication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on   | This action is made final.      |
|----------------|----------|---|---------------------------------|
|                |          | respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133  |                                 |
| art I          |          | THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  |                                 |
| 1.<br>3.<br>5. |          | Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.  2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-  Notice of informal Patent Applia | cation, Form PTO-152.           |
| art II         |          | SUMMARY OF ACTION   |                                 |
| 1.             | <b>♂</b> | Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 13  | are pending in the application. |
|                |          | Of the above, claims are to   | withdrawn from consideration.   |
| 2.             |          | Claims  | have been cancelled.            |
| 3.             |          | Claims  | are allowed.                    |
| 4.             | Ø        | Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 13  | are rejected.                   |
| 5.             |          | Claims  | are objected to.                |
| 6.             |          | Claims are subject to restriction   | n or election requirement.      |
| 7.             |          | This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for exam   |                                 |
| 8.             | _        | Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.   | •                               |
| 9.             |          | The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).  | .R. 1.84 these drawings         |
| 10.            |          | The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. I disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).   |                                 |
| 11.            |          | The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approved. disapproved.  | red (see explanation).          |
| 12.            |          | Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has   been received not been received   |                                 |
|                |          | been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;  | <del></del>                     |
| 13.            |          | Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.        |                                 |
| 14.            |          |   |                                 |

**EXAMINER'S ACTION** 

Art Unit: 2415

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the description requirement (NEW MATTER SITUATIONS) since the specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for the invention as is now claimed.

The new matters added to claim 1, "including a first program routine" and "including a second program routine" are not supported in the specification as originally filed.

The new matters added to claims 7 and 10, "executing a program which assembles and displays" and "executing a program which associates" are not supported in the original specification as originally filed.

Art Unit: 2415

2. Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Dependent claims are considered rejected for incorporating the defects from their respective parent claims by dependency.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, and 7-9, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Naimark et al. (4,857,902).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Naimark discloses (Figs. 1, 2, and 5) an apparatus for assembling content addressable video which comprising:

a video storage (51)(frame buffer);
tag storage (Fig. 1)(data space);
processing resources (50)(computer); and

logic executed by the processing positions (the data space table) (col. 8, lines 44-63).

Art Unit: 2415

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Naimark discloses (fig. 5) means for selecting a position (53)(trackball), and means (50)(computer) for accessing the frames of video data in the storage means (510(frame buffer).

Regarding claim 9, Naimark further discloses (figs. 1 and 2) the subset of the plurality of frames (N14, N15, N8, N9) is the subset of frame (N4).

5. Claims 3, 6, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§ 102(e) as being anticipated by Morgan (4,992,886).

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Morgan discloses (Figs. 1 and 2) and apparatus for generating content addressable video, comprising:

a content image display (fig. 2) (touch screen 30) which displays a content video image representative of an organization of content addressable video,

controller (processor 20) (video switcher 32) for generating control signals (col. 3, lines 34-58);

controllable video image generator remote cameras 80 and controllers 34) for generating frames of video data (col. 3, lines 34-58); and

the data processing resources (20) for associating frames of video data generated by the controllable video generator (80)(34).

Art Unit: 2415

Morgan further discloses (figs. 1 and 2) a video storage (processor), couples to the controllable video image generator (80)(34), for storing frames of video data generated by controllable video image generator (col. 3, lines 42-48);

data processing resources (processor 20) coupled to the controllable video image generator (80)(34) and the controller (32)(20) for associating the address of each frame of video data with a position in the content video image (col. 3, line 34-58).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, morgan discloses (figs. 1 and 20) means for selecting a position in the content video image (20)(44), and means (processor 20) for accessing the frames of video data in the storage means in response to selected positions (col. 2, line 63 to col. 3, line 19).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention

Art Unit: 2415

were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

7. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Morgan (4,992,866) in view of International Conference on Advanced Robotics (85 ICAR) Toshiba Corporation (September 13, 1985).

Claims 4 and 11 are considered rejected as set forth above regarding to claims 3 and 10 with the exception of robot mounted video camera.

However, Toshiba Corporation discloses (fig. 4) a robot mounted video camera which is controlled by the computer input device (tablet). It would have been obvious to incorporate the robot mounted video camera of Toshiba Corporation into the camera selection and positioning system of Morgan since this is well known in the art.

## Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 19, 1996 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The Examiner objected to the specification and rejected claims 1-4, 6-11 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, on the basis of NEW MATTER SITUATIONS. See the rejection as set forth above.

Art Unit: 2415

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew Luu at telephone number (703) 305-4850.

M. Luu: W.L.

October 25, 1996

MARK R. POWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2415